

AAT Bulletin

Issue No. 9/2020

9 March 2020

The AAT Bulletin is a weekly publication containing information about recently published decisions and appeals against decisions in the AAT's General, Freedom of Information, National Disability Insurance Scheme, Security, Small Business Taxation, Taxation & Commercial and Veterans' Appeals Divisions. The Bulletin also regularly includes a sample of decisions recently published in the AAT's Migration & Refugee Division and Social Services & Child Support Division. It occasionally includes information on legislative changes that affect the AAT.

It is recommended that the Bulletin be read on-line. This has the advantage of allowing the reader to use hyperlinks to access the full text of cases and other internet sites mentioned in the Bulletin.

The AAT does not make any representation or warranty about the accuracy, reliability, currency or completeness of any material contained in this Bulletin or on any linked site. While the AAT makes every effort to ensure that the material in the Bulletin is accurate and up-to-date, you should exercise your own independent skill and judgement before you rely on it. Information contained in this Bulletin is not legal advice and is intended as a general guide only. You should rely on your own advice or refer to the full cases and legislation in relation to any proceedings.

Enquiries regarding this publication may be directed to aatweb@aat.gov.au.

Contents

AAT Recent Decisions	3
Child Support	3
Citizenship	
Compensation	
Migration	5
Practice and Procedure	7
Social Services	
Taxation	
Appeals	11
Appeals lodged	11
Appeals finalised	11
Statements of Principles	12
New Statements of Principles	12
Amended Statements of Principles	13
Statements of Principles to be revoked	

AAT Recent Decisions

This section of the Bulletin provides information about all decisions recently published in the AAT's General, Freedom of Information, National Disability Insurance Scheme, Security, Small Business Taxation, Taxation & Commercial and Veterans' Appeals. This list also includes selected child support decisions published by the Social Services & Child Support Division and examples of recent decisions published by the Migration & Refugee Division. Only AAT decisions that have been published on AustLII have been included. Full copies of the decisions can be accessed through the hyperlinks provided below.

Child Support

BSKT and Child Support Registrar (Child support second review) [2020] AATA 392 (4 March 2020); P Ranson, Member

CHILD SUPPORT – Federal Circuit Court of Australia parenting Orders in place – parenting Orders dismissed by Family Court of Australia – dismissal of Orders 'discharged' by Full Court of the Family Court of Australia – existing care arrangements taken to be reinstated – interim care determination sought – no change in care arrangements – no new parenting Orders made – decision under review affirmed

<u>DWTR and Child Support Registrar</u> (Child support second review) [2020] AATA 363 (20 February 2020); Senior Member C. J. Furnell

CHILD SUPPORT – percentage of care – was there a change to the likely pattern of care – existing percentage of care determinations revoked and new determinations made – defining a care period – date of effect of decision – whether there were special circumstances that prevented the objection being lodged in time – no special circumstances found – decision under review set aside and remitted

<u>GZBC and Child Support Registrar</u> (Child support second review) [2020] AATA 359 (27 February 2020); I Fletcher, Member

CHILD SUPPORT – Percentage of care – pattern of care – special circumstances from lodging objection within the specified time – decision is affirmed

Citizenship

<u>Dolma and Secretary, Department of Home Affairs</u> (Citizenship) [2020] AATA 399 (3 March 2020); Deputy President B W Rayment OAM QC

CITIZENSHIP – applicant found to be of not good character – dishonest with dealings with Department – alleged bogus documents provided to Department – incorrect information given to Department – whether applicant know documents were bogus – whether applicant genuinely believed documents were authentic – decision set aside and substituted

<u>Hussain and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs</u> (Citizenship) [2020] AATA 376 (27 February 2020); Senior Member R Cameron

CITIZENSHIP – refusal of approval for Australian citizenship by conferral – whether the applicant is of good character – false and misleading conduct in dealing with immigration authorities – decision affirmed

<u>Jaafar and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs</u> (Citizenship) [2020] AATA 415 (6 March 2020); Dr L Bygrave Member

CITIZENSHIP – application for Australian citizenship by conferral – whether applicant is of good character – actual assault – domestic violence – good behaviour bond – Citizenship Policy – Australian Citizenship Procedural Instructions – decision under review affirmed

KXHA and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs (Citizenship) [2020] AATA 397 (5 February 2020); Senior Member L Kirk

CITIZENSHIP – application for conferral of Australian citizenship – child applicant born in Australia to parents of Rohingya ethnicity – whether applicant considered to be stateless and eligible to become an Australian citizen under s 21(8) of the Australian Citizenship Act 2007 (Cth) – where applicant's parents found not to be citizens of Myanmar and de jure stateless – where the Burma Citizenship Law of 1982 effectively excludes the Rohingya from acquiring Myanmar citizenship – where the Rohingya are not recognised as a designated "national race" for the purposes of Myanmar citizenship – decision under review set aside and substituted

Mohamoud and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs (Citizenship) [2020] AATA 401 (5 March 2020); R West, Member

Australian Citizenship Act – assisted citizenship test – Applicant unsuccessful – referral under s.42D of the AAT Act – application for citizenship rejected – decision affirmed

<u>Singh and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs</u> (Citizenship) [2020] AATA 396 (5 March 2020); A Burke AO, Member

CITIZENSHIP – refusal of approval for Australian citizenship by conferral – whether the applicant is not of good character – whether the application for citizenship made by the applicant should be approved – decision under review affirmed

<u>The Applicant and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs Citizenship</u> (Citizenship) [2020] AATA 372 (17 February 2020); A Burke AO, Member

CITIZENSHIP – refusal of approval for Australian citizenship by conferral – whether satisfied of identity of applicant – whether the application for citizenship made by the applicant should be approved – decision under review set aside and remitted

Compensation

<u>De La Torre-Greene and Comcare</u> (Compensation) [2020] AATA 419 (6 March 2020); Senior Member Chris Puplick AM

COMCARE – request for extension of time to lodge request for reconsideration – left shoulder injury – appropriate characterisation of appeal – where delay of 600 days – principles in extension of time applications – applicant failed to address the reason for the delay – where Applicant rested on her rights – where prejudice to the Respondent and others – merits of the application – decision affirmed

SRFR and Comcare (Compensation) [2020] AATA 378 (7 February 2020); D Mitchell, Member

COMPENSATION – liability accepted in respect of the aggravation of contact dermatitis and other eczema – section 16 of the SRC Act – reasonable treatment in the circumstances – reasonable amount of compensation – decision under review affirmed

Migration

Abdulgader and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs (Migration) [2020] AATA 388 (3 March 2020); Dr L Bygrave, Member

MIGRATION – non-revocation of mandatory cancellation of a Class XB Refugee visa – where visa was cancelled under s 501(3A) because applicant did not pass character test – substantial criminal record under s 501(7) – rape – whether there is another reason to revoke the mandatory cancellation of the visa – Ministerial Direction No. 79 – primary considerations – other considerations – where Applicant is considered to be at moderate-high risk of re-offending – decision under review affirmed

Berryman and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs (Migration) [2020] AATA 421 (5 March 2020); Deputy President Boyle

MIGRATION – Migration Act 1958 (Cth) – mandatory visa cancellation – s 501CA(4) – substantial criminal record – another reason why the cancellation decision should be revoked – Direction 79 – protection of the Australian community – nature and seriousness of the conduct – the risk to the Australian community – best interests of the child – traffic offences – strength, nature and duration of ties – extent of impediments if removed – decision affirmed

<u>Doves and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs</u> (Migration) [2020] AATA 367 (28 February 2020); Senior Member T Tavoularis

MIGRATION – Non-revocation of mandatory cancellation of a Class BB Subclass 155 (five year Resident Return) visa – where Applicant does not pass the character test – whether there is another reason to revoke the mandatory cancellation decision – consideration of Ministerial Direction No. 79 – decision under review

<u>Hastibeer and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs</u> (Migration) [2020] AATA 361 (26 February 2020); Dr N A Manetta, Senior Member

MIGRATION – mandatory cancellation of applicant's visa – applicant has substantial criminal record – whether discretion to revoke mandatory cancellation should be exercised – primary considerations – other considerations – where applicant convicted of robbery with weapon on two occasions – where applicant a user of ice at time of offending– likelihood of recurrence of offending – other considerations – applicant a citizen of South Africa – substantial hardship in South Africa – decision under review set aside and in substitution decided that visa cancellation be revoked

JKPM and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs (Migration) [2020] AATA 365 (25 February 2020); Senior Member T Tavoularis

MIGRATION – Non-revocation of mandatory cancellation of a Class TY Subclass 444 Special Category (Temporary) visa - where Applicant does not pass the character test – whether there is another reason to revoke the mandatory cancellation decision – consideration of Ministerial Direction No. 79 – decision under review affirmed

McLeod and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs (Migration) [2020] AATA 400 (4 March 2020); Senior Member D J Morris

MIGRATION – mandatory cancellation of visa – Class TY Subclass 444 Special Category (Temporary) visa – Applicant is citizen of New Zealand – character test – substantial criminal record – ministerial Direction No. 79 – primary considerations – protection of the Australian community – nature and seriousness of conduct – risk to the Australian community – best interests of affected minor children – expectations of Australian community – relevant other considerations – strength, nature and duration of ties to Australia – extent of impediments if removed to country of reference – previous cancellation of visa and restoration – is discretion enlivened – decision set aside and new decision substituted

MMWM and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs (Migration) [2020] AATA 364 (28 February 2020); Deputy President Britten-Jones

MIGRATION – mandatory cancellation of applicant's visa – applicant has substantial criminal record – whether discretion to revoke mandatory cancellation should be exercised – primary considerations – other considerations – decision under review affirmed

NWQR and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs (Migration) [2020] AATA 391 (3 March 2020); Senior Member M Griffin QC

MIGRATION – mandatory cancellation of visa – citizen of Tonga – failure to pass character test – offending history – whether discretion to revoke mandatory cancellation should be exercised – considerations under Direction No. 79 – primary considerations – other considerations – decision under review affirmed

Robinson and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs (Migration) [2020] AATA 370 (27 February 2020); Senior Member T Tavoularis and Senior Member B Pola

MIGRATION – Non-revocation of mandatory cancellation of a Class TY Subclass 444 Special Category (Temporary) visa - where Applicant does not pass the character test – whether there is another reason to revoke the mandatory cancellation decision – consideration of Ministerial Direction No. 79 – decision under review affirmed

<u>Scarlett and Minister for Home Affairs</u> (Migration) [2020] AATA 371 (21 February 2020); Senior Member T Tavoularis and R Arends, Member

MIGRATION – Non-revocation of mandatory cancellation of a Class TY Subclass 444 Special Category (Temporary) visa – where Applicant does not pass the character test – whether there is another reason to revoke the mandatory cancellation decision – consideration of Ministerial Direction No. 79 – decision under review affirmed

XZJP and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs (Migration) [2020] AATA 418 (6 March 2020); Senior Member D J Morris

MIGRATION – mandatory cancellation of Class BB Subclass 155 Five Year Resident Return visa – applicant is citizen of Colombia – background to offending – ministerial direction No. 79 – primary considerations – protection of the Australian community – the nature and seriousness of the applicant's conduct – the risk to the Australian community should applicant commit further offences or engage in other serious conduct – expectations of Australian community – non-refoulement obligations – strength, nature and duration of ties to Australia – extent of impediments if returned to country of reference – evidence of applicant having significant cognitive deficiency - decision under review set aside and new decision substituted

Zoing and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs Migration) [2020] AATA 375 (3 March 2020); Dr N A Manetta, Senior Member

MIGRATION – mandatory cancellation of applicant's visa – serious criminal record – whether discretion to revoke mandatory cancellation should be exercised – primary considerations – other considerations – where applicant convicted of violence against a woman – likelihood of recurrence of offending – other considerations – applicant a citizen of New Zealand – no substantial hardship in returning to New Zealand – primacy of primary considerations - decision under review affirmed

Practice and Procedure

<u>Australian Dual Diagnosis Recovery Network Inc and National Disability Insurance Agency</u> [2020] AATA 395 (21 February 2020); K Parker, Member

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – Applicant is a registered provider under the National Disability Insurance Scheme Act 2013 – decision described as a refusal by the NDIA to make payments to the Applicant for the provision of specialist disability accommodation (SDA) to a NDIS participant at an enrolled dwelling – "Director" of the Applicant is the father of participant and also resides at the subject dwelling with two other members of their family – whether the Tribunal has jurisdiction to review the decision described by the Applicant – no internal review of a reviewable decision under s 99 identified – Tribunal does not have jurisdiction – application dismissed

<u>Complete Nursing and Home Care Pty Ltd and National Disability Insurance Agency</u> [2020] AATA 360 (27 February 2020); Deputy President SA Forgie

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – jurisdiction – where services provided when NDIS plan funding insufficient – whether service provider may seek review of respondent's decision to refuse to pay service provider's unpaid invoices – decision not reviewable within legislative scheme – tribunal has no jurisdiction

<u>Martin and Secretary, Department of Social Services</u> [2020] AATA 416 (5 February 2020); Mr S Evans, Member

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – application for reinstatement – social security – claim for disability support pension – multiple adjournment requests – failure to proceed – merits of substantial application – reinstatement refused

Middleback Investments Pty Ltd and Minister for Finance [2020] AATA 394 (5 March 2020); Deputy President Britten-Jones and Senior Member B J Illingworth

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION – whether a question of law should be referred to the Federal Court – whether a preliminary question should be determined by the AAT – risk of fragmentation – no agreed facts – mixed question of fact and law - application dismissed

RPDS and Secretary, Department of Education [2020] AATA 398 (4 February 2020); S Evans, Member

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – application for confidentiality order by applicant – private hearing – publication or disclosure of information revealing the identity of a party or witness – publication or other disclosure of evidence or information about evidence lodged with the Tribunal – applicant concerned about public disclosure of personal and health information – request for suppression of name of applicant granted – Tribunal not satisfied there are cogent reasons to hold a private hearing or prohibit publication of its decision

Rothnie and Australian Securities and Investments Commission [2020] AATA 373 (28 February 2020); D K Grigg, Member

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – SUMMONS – whether documents sought are relevant to the issues to be decided – whether a summons can be issued to a party to the proceedings – summons allowed

RXQM and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs (Migration) [2020] AATA 377 (28 February 2020); S Burford, Member

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – refusal of Applicant's visa under s 501(1) of the Migration Act 1958 (Cth) – whether application for review lodged out of time – no discretion to extend time for making application – application dismissed

<u>Snow and Secretary, Department of Social Services</u> [2020] AATA 417 (5 March 2020); Dr N A Manetta, Senior Member

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – application for recusal or reconstitution of the Tribunal – application refused

<u>Tallevine Pty Ltd and Registrar of Trade Marks</u> [2020] AATA 389 (5 February 2020); Deputy President J W Constance

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – applications for joinder – whether interests of joinder applicants are affected – whether discretion should be exercised in favour of the joinder applicants – applications for joinder granted

Vu and Secretary, Department of Education [2020] AATA 358 (2 March 2020); K Parker, Member

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – student seeking remission of higher education loan program debt and refund of tuition fees – University refused application – student's request for reconsideration of University's refusal decision made out of time – University refused student's request for an extension of time to request reconsideration – decision by University not to provide student with extension of time is not reviewable by this Tribunal – application dismissed

Social Services

<u>Chamberlain and Secretary, Department of Social Services</u> (Social services second review) [2020] AATA 390 (4 March 2020); I Thompson, Member

SOCIAL SECURITY – disability support pension – whether applicant's medical conditions were fully diagnosed, fully treated and fully stabilised during the qualification period-whether an impairment rating of 20 points or more existed under the Impairment Tables – decision under review affirmed.

<u>Curtis and Secretary, Department of Social Services</u> (Social services second review) [2020] AATA 362 (28 February 2020); Senior Member C Puplick AM

SOCIAL SECURITY – overpayment of carer payment and disability support pension entitlements – debt owed to the Commonwealth – failure to disclose additional income and changes in financial circumstances – whether debts should be written off or waived – any special circumstances to justify waiver of all or part of the debts – decision affirmed

<u>Kara and Secretary, Department of Social Services</u> (Social services second review) [2020] AATA 393 (5 March 2020); Senior Member C Puplick AM

SOCIAL SECURITY – Disability Support Pension – whether applicant qualified for DSP during qualification period – whether conditions fully diagnosed, treated and stabilised – lumbar spine condition – knee and shoulder conditions – diabetes – migraine – hypertension – morbid obesity/thyroid – whether impairments attracts 20 points or more under the Impairment Tables – continuing inability to work – whether applicant completed a Program of Support – POS Determination – whether applicant covered by a participation exemption – decision under review set aside and substituted

Monroe and Secretary, Department of Social Services (Social services second review) [2020] AATA 366 (26 February 2020); Member D Mitchell

SOCIAL SECURITY – Newstart Allowance (NSA) – lump sum preclusion period – whether special circumstances exist – compensation lump sum – special circumstances exist – decision under review set aside

SOCIAL SECURITY – Disability Support Pension (DSP) cancellation - lump sum preclusion period – whether special circumstances exist – compensation lump sum – no evidence that special circumstances exist at date of DSP cancellation – decision under review affirmed

<u>Mudaliar and Secretary, Department of Social Services</u> (Social services second review) [2020] AATA 420 (6 March 2020); Senior Member P J Clauson AM

SOCIAL SECURITY – Disability Support Pension – DSP – whether medical conditions fully diagnosed, fully treated and fully stabilised – whether 20 points or more under the Impairment Tables during the Qualification Period – decision under review is affirmed

Ngo and Secretary, Department of Social Services (Social services second review) [2020] AATA 368 (28 February 2020); I Thompson, Member

SOCIAL SECURITY – disability support pension – cancellation of existing payment – medical review – whether Tribunal satisfied that applicant not qualified for DSP as at the date of cancellation – decision under review set aside.

<u>Saric and Secretary, Department of Social Services</u> (Social services second review) [2020] AATA 369 (27 February 2020); I Thompson, Member

SOCIAL SECURITY – disability support pension – qualification period – whether applicant's conditions were fully diagnosed, treated and stabilised – whether applicant's conditions attract 20 points under the impairment tables – reviewable decision affirmed

Zablotsky and Secretary, Department of Social Services (Social services second review) [2020] AATA 374 (28 February 2020); Senior Member C Puplick AM

SOCIAL SECURITY – Disability Support Pension – rate of payment – whether applicants were a member of a couple – marriage-like relationship – de facto relationship – financial aspects of relationship – nature of household – social aspects of relationship – sexual relationship – nature of commitment to each other – recoverable debt – debt write off – waiver of debt arising from error – waiver of debt in special circumstances – reviewable decisions is set aside and substituted

Taxation

NQZG and Commissioner of Taxation (Taxation) [2020] AATA 379 (2 March 2020); Senior Member L Hespe

TAXATION – character of payment received – founders retention amount – whether receipt was of income or capital nature – whether payment is consideration for sale of shares or a product of services – terms of agreement – decision affirmed

Appeals

This section of the Bulletin provides information about appeals that have been lodged or finalised against decisions in the AAT's General, Freedom of Information, National Disability Insurance Scheme, Security, Small Business Taxation, Taxation & Commercial and Veterans' Appeals Divisions. Information is only included about appeals relating to AAT decisions that have been published on AustLII. Full copies of the decisions can be accessed through the hyperlinks provided below.

Appeals lodged

VFWQ v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and

Multicultural Affairs

CASE NAME		AAT REFERENCE
WGKS and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs		[2020] AATA 38
WLZW and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs		[2020] AATA 93
Appeals finalised		
CASE NAME	AAT REFERENCE	COURT REFERENCE
Ikupu v Minster for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs (No 2)	[2019] AATA 2545	[2020] FCA 234

[2019] AATA 1096

[2020] FCA 230

Statements of Principles

This section of the *Bulletin* provides information on recent developments including the notification or completion of investigations in relation to Statements of Principles made by the Repatriation Medical Authority (**RMA**) for the purposes of section 120A(2) of the <u>Veterans' Entitlements Act 1986</u> (**VEA**) and section 338(2) of the <u>Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 2004</u> (**MRCA**). These Acts require reference to be had to Statements of Principles made about particular conditions concerning injury, disease or death.

If the RMA gives notice that it intends to carry out an investigation in respect of a particular kind of condition, the Repatriation Commission cannot determine a claim made under the VEA about the incapacity or death of a person relating to that condition, until the RMA has determined a Statement of Principles or declares that it does not propose to determine a Statement of Principles about the condition. Also during this period, claims under the MRCA cannot be determined, reconsidered or reviewed by either the Repatriation Commission, the Veterans' Review Board or the AAT, until the RMA has determined a Statement of Principles about the condition concerned or declared it does not propose to do so.

Certain claims cannot succeed if the RMA has declared it does not propose to make a Statement of Principles in relation to the particular condition.

Existing Statements of Principles are also reviewed, amended or revoked from time to time.

New Statements of Principles

The AAT has been advised that the RMA has made the following new Statements of Principles. These take effect from 23 March 2020:

Acute pancreatitis (Balance of Probabilities) - No. 6 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00212

Acute pancreatitis (Reasonable Hypothesis) – No. 5 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00211

Chronic multisymptom illness (Balance of Probabilities) – No. 4 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00209

Chronic multisymptom illness (Reasonable Hypothesis) - No. 3 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00208

Hypersensitivity pneumonitis (Balance of Probabilities) - No. 8 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00206

Hypersensitivity pneumonitis (Reasonable Hypothesis) - No. 7 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00205

Malignant neoplasm of the nasopharynx (Balance of Probabilities) - No. 10 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00191

Malignant neoplasm of the nasopharynx (Reasonable Hypothesis) - No. 9 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00192

Multiple sclerosis (Balance of Probabilities) - No. 12 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00214

Multiple sclerosis (Reasonable Hypothesis) - No. 11 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00213

Osteonecrosis (Balance of Probabilities) - No. 14 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00201

Osteonecrosis (Reasonable Hypothesis) - No. 13 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00199

Amended Statements of Principles

The AAT has been advised that the RMA has made the following instruments amending the Statements of Principles for the specified conditions. These take effect from **23 March 2020**:

Acute myeloid leukaemia - No. 17 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00193

Malignant neoplasm of the eye (Balance of Probabilities) - No. 20 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00200

Malignant neoplasm of the eye (Reasonable Hypothesis) – No. 19 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00198

Malignant neoplasm of the lung - No. 18 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00194

Migraine (Balance of Probabilities) - No. 16 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00204

Migraine (Reasonable Hypothesis) – No. 15 of 2020

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00202

Statements of Principles to be revoked

The AAT has been advised that the following Statements of Principles determined by the RMA will be revoked on 23 March 2020:

Acute pancreatitis - No. 86 of 2011

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2011L01444

Acute pancreatitis - No. 85 of 2011

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2011L01442

Chronic multisymptom illness - No. 56 of 2014

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2014L00525

Chronic multisymptom illness - No. 55 of 2014

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2014L00524

Dysbaric osteonecrosis - No. 17 of 2015

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00195

Dysbaric osteonecrosis - No. 18 of 2015

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2020L00196

Extrinsic allergic alveolitis - No. 88 of 2011

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2011L01447

Extrinsic allergic alveolitis - No. 87 of 2011

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2011L01445

Malignant neoplasm of the nasopharynx - No. 26 of 2011

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2011L00781

Malignant neoplasm of the nasopharynx - No. 25 of 2011

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2011L00740

Multiple sclerosis - No. 101 of 2011

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2011L01738

Multiple sclerosis - No. 100 of 2011

https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2011L01736

© Commonwealth of Australia 2020



With the exception of the Commonwealth Coat of Arms and any third party material, this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia Licence. Content from this publication should be attributed as: Administrative Appeals Tribunal, AAT Bulletin.

To the extent that copyright subsists in third party material, it remains with the original owner and permission may be required to reuse the material.

The terms under which the Coat of Arms can be used are detailed on the following website: http://www.itsanhonour.gov.au/coat-arms/

Enquiries regarding the licence are welcome at aatweb@aat.gov.au.

This licence is limited to the AAT Bulletin and does not extend to the full text of AAT decisions. Separate licence terms for AAT decisions can be found on AustLII.

ISSUE 9/2020 **AAT BULLETIN**