



Administrative  
Appeals Tribunal

AAT  
Bulletin

# AAT Bulletin

**Issue No. 13/2022**

**27 June 2022**

The *AAT Bulletin* is a fortnightly publication containing information about recently published decisions and appeals against decisions in the AAT's General, Freedom of Information, National Disability Insurance Scheme, Security, Small Business Taxation, Taxation & Commercial and Veterans' Appeals Divisions. The Bulletin also regularly includes a sample of decisions recently published in the AAT's Migration & Refugee Division and Social Services & Child Support Division. It occasionally includes information on legislative changes that affect the AAT.

It is recommended that the Bulletin be read online. This has the advantage of allowing the reader to use hyperlinks to access the full text of cases and other internet sites mentioned in the Bulletin.

The AAT does not make any representation or warranty about the accuracy, reliability, currency or completeness of any material contained in this Bulletin or on any linked site. While the AAT makes every effort to ensure that the material in the Bulletin is accurate and up-to-date, you should exercise your own independent skill and judgement before you rely on it. Information contained in this Bulletin is not legal advice and is intended as a general guide only. You should rely on your own advice or refer to the full cases and legislation in relation to any proceedings.

Enquiries regarding this publication may be directed to [aatweb@aat.gov.au](mailto:aatweb@aat.gov.au).

# Contents

<b>Recent developments .....</b>	<b>3</b>
Increase in certain application fees on 1 July 2022 .....	3
<b>AAT Recent Decisions .....</b>	<b>4</b>
Child Support.....	4
Citizenship.....	5
Compensation .....	6
Energy and Resources.....	6
Migration.....	7
National Disability Insurance Scheme.....	10
Practice and Procedure.....	10
Professions and Trades .....	11
Refugee.....	12
Social Services.....	13
Taxation.....	14
<b>Appeals .....</b>	<b>15</b>
Appeals lodged.....	15
Appeals finalised .....	15

# Recent developments

## Increase in certain application fees on 1 July 2022

Certain application fees for the Administrative Appeals Tribunal will increase on 1 July 2022.

### ***General, Freedom of Information, Security, Taxation and Commercial, and Small Business Taxation Divisions***

From 1 July 2022, application fees for the review of a decision, where a fee is payable, will increase in accordance with reg 27(1) of the *Administrative Appeals Tribunal Regulations 2015* (Cth).

- The standard application fee will increase to **\$1,011**
- The fee payable for review of Small Business Taxation Decisions will increase to **\$543**
- The fee payable for certain taxation applications will increase to **\$100**
- Concessional fee: no change from **\$100**

These fee increases will apply where an application fee is paid on or after 1 July 2022, even if the application was lodged before that date.

### ***Migration and Refugee Division***

From 1 July 2022, application fees for the review of a migration decision will increase to **\$3,153** where a fee is payable.

In cases where a 50% fee reduction is granted, the reduced fee will be **\$1,576.50**.

The application fees for a review of a protection visa decision will increase to **\$1,940**. The fee for a protection visa decision is payable if the review is not successful.

The amount of the application fee payable is based on when the application was lodged.

The fee increases are calculated in accordance with changes in the Consumer Price Index under Regulations 4.13A and 4.31BA of the *Migration Regulations 1994*.

Notice of the amounts for the annual fee increase for applications lodged in the Tribunal have been published in the [Commonwealth of Australia gazette](#).

# AAT Recent Decisions

This section of the Bulletin provides information about all decisions recently published in the AAT's General, Freedom of Information, National Disability Insurance Scheme, Security, Small Business Taxation, Taxation & Commercial and Veterans' Appeals. This list also includes selected child support decisions published by the Social Services & Child Support Division and examples of recent decisions published by the Migration & Refugee Division. Only AAT decisions that have been published on [AustLII](#) have been included. Full copies of the decisions can be accessed through the hyperlinks provided below.

## Child Support

[Forster and Child Support Registrar](#) (Child support) [2022] AATA 1552 (31 March 2022); R Ellis, Senior Member

CHILD SUPPORT – percentage of care – whether there was a change to the likely pattern of care – no change to the likely pattern – refusal to revoke the existing percentage of care determinations – decision under review set aside and substituted

[Medwin and Child Support Registrar](#) (Child support) [2022] AATA 1706 (8 April 2022); D Lambden, Member

CHILD SUPPORT – percentage of care – whether there was a change to the likely pattern of care – existing percentage of care determinations revoked and new determinations made – decision under review affirmed

[Smallman and Child Support Registrar](#) (Child support) [2022] AATA 1567 (13 April 2022); J Longo, Member

CHILD SUPPORT – application for extension of time – departure determination – no satisfactory explanation for the lengthy delay – some merit – extension of time refused

[Anson and Child Support Registrar](#) (Child support) [2022] AATA 1696 (7 April 2022); F Staden, Member

CHILD SUPPORT – application for extension of time – no satisfactory explanation for the lengthy delay – no arguable merit – prejudice to the other parent and general public – extension of time refused – decision under review affirmed

## Citizenship

### [AlKanji and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs](#)

(Citizenship) [2022] AATA 1745 (20 June 2022); A Poljak, Senior Member

CITIZENSHIP – application for Australian citizenship by conferral – where applicant has been convicted of domestic violence offending – where the applicant has committed multiple traffic offences – whether the applicant is of good character for the purposes of conferral of Australian citizenship – relevant law and policy considered – relevant material considered – decision under review affirmed

### [FRLG and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs](#)

(Citizenship) [2022] AATA 1660 (14 June 2022); J W Constance, Deputy President

CITIZENSHIP – application for Australian citizenship by conferral – refusal of citizenship application – whether the applicant is of good character – where the applicant has provided false or misleading information – decision affirmed

### [NMGM and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs](#) (Citizenship) [2022]

AATA 1777 (22 June 2022); D J Morris, Senior Member

CITIZENSHIP – application for citizenship by conferral – where applicant is citizen of Afghanistan – where applicant satisfies certain requirements - delegate not satisfied of applicant's identity – delegate therefore prohibited from approving application – consideration of Citizenship Procedural Instructions relating to assessment of identity – biometric evidence – oral evidence of life story – documents provided – inconsistent evidence about applicant's immediate family – suggestion of human chimera – likelihood of this – whether inconsistencies sufficient to undermine satisfaction of identity – decision under review set aside and matter remitted with direction

### [Shewakramani and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs](#) (Citizenship) [2022] AATA 1585 (10 June 2022); S Evans, Member

CITIZENSHIP – Australian citizenship by conferral – general residence requirements – whether the discretion under subsection 22(9) of the Australian Citizenship Act 2007 (Cth) can be enlivened – whether the Applicant had a close and continuing association with Australia – decision under review affirmed

## Compensation

[McEwan and Comcare](#) (Compensation) [2022] AATA 1586 (10 June 2022); Dr I Alexander, Senior Member

WORKERS' COMPENSATION – anxiety/stress disorder – whether Comcare is liable to pay compensation for psychological injury – whether Applicant suffered a psychological ailment for the purposes of section 5B of the Safety, Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 (Cth) – whether the Applicant's ailment was contributed to, to a significant degree, by his employment with NBN Co – whether the Applicant's ailment constitutes a disease under section 5B – decision affirmed

[Wells and Comcare](#) (Compensation) [2022] AATA 1911 (22 June 2022); A G Melick AO SC, Deputy President

COMPENSATION – work-related injury – whether Comcare is liable to pay compensation – whether Comcare is liable for treatment – effect of secondary condition on liability – whether treatment is reasonable – massage – acupuncture – gym program – length of treatment – effect of treatment – decision under review affirmed

[Williams and Comcare](#) (Compensation) [2022] AATA 1584 (10 June 2022); J Sosso, Deputy President

COMPENSATION – Applicant denied interview or feedback on application for role she had been acting in for two years – period off work – claim for “reactive depression” – liability for injury denied – whether applicant suffered an ailment – medical evidence outlining no psychological condition – Mooi principles – Applicant's condition not outside the boundaries of normal mental functioning – decision under review affirmed

## Energy and Resources

[Pioneer International Pty Ltd and Greenhouse and Energy Minimum Standards Regulator](#) [2022] AATA 1587 (8 June 2022); J W Constance, Deputy President and A Poljak, Senior Member

GREENHOUSE AND ENERGY MINIMUM STANDARDS (GEMS) – GEMS Regulator – regulation of energy efficiency regulated products – where products must be registered on the GEMS Register – products required to meet determined standards of energy efficiency – check testing of products – where Applicant registered model of air conditioning unit on the GEMS Register – where GEMS Regulator not satisfied that the product met energy efficiency standards – issue of statutory notice by GEMS Regulator to Applicant requiring Applicant to cancel registration of the product or arrange for check testing of the product – non-compliance with notice – where GEMS Regulator may suspend or cancel the registration of products for non-compliance with notice – decision to cancel registration of product – decision under review affirmed

## Migration

### [Akon Mabuoc and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs](#) (Migration) [2022] AATA 1780 (20 May 2022); The Hon. M Groom, Senior Member

MIGRATION – sections 501 and 501CA of the Migration Act 1958 (Cth) – cancellation revoked under section 501CA(4) – where the applicant has a substantial criminal record – where the applicant does not pass the character test – whether there is another reason why the visa cancellation should be revoked – Ministerial Direction No. 90 – primary and other considerations considered – protection of the Australian community from criminal or other serious conduct – significant criminal offending – risk to the Australian community – whether conduct constitutes family violence – best interests of minor children in Australia considered – expectations of Australian community – international non-refoulement obligations – extent of impediments if removed – links to the Australian community – decision under review set aside and new decision substituted

### [Batson and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs](#) (Migration) [2022] AATA 1715 (15 June 2022); D J Morris, Senior Member

MIGRATION – cancellation of Class VE Subclass 176 Skilled Sponsorship visa – substantial criminal record – delegate decided not to revoke mandatory cancellation – ministerial direction No. 90 – primary considerations – protection of the Australian community – offending committed as a minor – Full Court decision in Thornton distinguished as limited to wording in Queensland Act which is not analogous to Western Australian legislation – whether conduct constitutes family violence – best interests of minor children in Australia – expectations of Australian community – extent of impediments if removed – impact on victims – links to the Australian community – offending driven by methamphetamine addiction – evidence that applicant has been drug-free for a considerable period of time – protective factors of employment and stable personal relationship – decision under review set aside and new decision substituted

### [Dore and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs](#) (Migration) [2022] AATA 1750 (21 June 2022); T Tavoularis, Senior Member

MIGRATION – Non-revocation of a mandatory cancellation of a Class TY 444 Special (Temporary) visa – where Applicant does not pass the character test – whether there is another reason to revoke the mandatory cancellation decision – consideration of Ministerial Direction No. 90 – drug trafficking and other drug-related offences – decision under review set aside and substituted

### [Falaniko and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs](#) (Migration) [2022] AATA 1781 (1 June 2022); A George, Senior Member

MIGRATION – Non-revocation of mandatory cancellation of a Class TY Subclass 444 Special Category (Temporary) visa – where Applicant does not pass the character test – consideration of Ministerial Direction No. 90 – domestic violence – decision under review affirmed

[Manuel and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs](#) (Migration) [2022] AATA 1747 (15 June 2022); D J Morris, Senior Member

MIGRATION – applicant held class TY subclass 444 special category (temporary) visa – visa mandatorily cancelled as delegate satisfied applicant had substantial criminal record – applicant sentenced to term of imprisonment of 12 months or more – sentence subsequently reduced on appeal to nine months’ imprisonment – delegate accepted original basis of cancellation no longer applied – delegate decided on other grounds that applicant failed character test – delegate decided discretion not enlivened under s 501CA to revoke mandatory cancellation – applicant seeks review by Tribunal – ministerial Direction No. 90 – primary considerations – acts of family violence – whether assault of person in developing relationship constitutes family violence – other considerations – decision under review is affirmed – written reasons provided

[Sopoaga and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs](#) (Migration) [2022] AATA 1749 (1 June 2022); Mr S Evans, Member

MIGRATION – visa cancellation under subsection 501(3A) of the Migration Act 1958 (Cth) – cancellation not revoked under subsection 501CA(4) – where the applicant has a substantial criminal record – where the applicant does not pass the character test – issue: is there another reason why the visa cancellation should be revoked – Direction no. 90 considered – decision under review set aside and substituted

[SXZL and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs](#) (Migration) [2022] AATA 1717 (18 May 2022); Dr N A Manetta, Senior Member

MIGRATION – delegate refused to revoke mandatory cancellation of visa – whether another reason to revoke mandatory cancellation – Direction 90 – primary considerations weigh heavily against applicant – prospect of indefinite detention if decision affirmed accorded substantial weight – full Federal Court decision in WKMZ considered – decision under review set aside

[Tohiariki and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship and Multicultural Affairs](#) (Migration) [2022] AATA 1748 (21 June 2022); Dr M Evans-Bonner, Senior Member

MIGRATION – decision of delegate of Minister not to revoke mandatory cancellation of the Applicant’s Visa – character test – substantial criminal record – offences include drug and possession of stolen property offences – Direction No 90 – primary and other considerations – protection of the Australian community – nature and seriousness of the conduct – risk to the Australian community – whether there was family violence – best interests of the Applicant’s minor children – minor child with special needs – expectations of the Australian community – extent of impediments if removed to New Zealand – links to the Australian community – strength, nature and duration of ties to Australia – there is another reason to revoke the Cancellation Decision – Reviewable Decision set aside and substituted

**TVVT and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs**  
(Migration) [2022] AATA 1659 (13 June 2022); T Tavoularis, Senior Member

MIGRATION – Non-revocation of mandatory cancellation of a Global Special Humanitarian (Class XB) (Subclass 202) visa - where Applicant does not pass the character test – whether the discretion to refuse to grant the visa should be exercised – consideration of Ministerial Direction No. 90 – other reason why mandatory cancellation decision should be revoked – decision under review affirmed

**Vahora** (Migration) [2022] AATA 1431 (29 April 2022); D Barker, Member

MIGRATION – Student (Temporary) (Class TU) visas – Subclass 500 (Student) – previous Student visa cancellation – enrolment in higher level courses – employment in Australia – new application for a Student visa prior to cancellation – compassionate or compelling circumstances – support provided to extended family in Australia – decision under review affirmed

**Jiang** (Migration) [2022] AATA 1428 (5 May 2022); G Cullen, Member

MIGRATION – Student (Temporary) (Class TU) visas – Subclass 500 (Student) – genuine student – genuine temporary entrant – lengthy stay in Australia – multiple courses completed – no current enrolment – value of the course to benefit future career – property ownership in China – decision under review affirmed

**Feraud** (Migration) [2022] AATA 1391 (6 May 2022); T Connellan, Member

MIGRATION – Skilled (Provisional) (Class VC) visa – Subclass 485 (Temporary Graduate) – post-study work stream – English language proficiency – evidence of undertaking specified test not provided with visa application – incorrect information given by department – articulate and truthful witness – test result provided to tribunal post-hearing – extensive study and work in English-speaking countries – involvement in internationally significant research – referred for ministerial consideration – decision under review affirmed

**Aslam** (Migration) [2022] AATA 1402 (19 May 2022); J Cripps Watts, Member

MIGRATION – Student (Temporary) (Class TU) visa – Subclass 500 (Student) – bogus document given with visa application – bank statement in name of financial sponsor – departmental checks – ‘ghost bank’ associated with large number of student visa applications – information provided in response to department’s and tribunal’s requests – verification letter not reliable evidence – standard of reasonable suspicion – statement may be bogus even if bank is legitimate – no compassionate or compelling circumstances to waive requirements – decision under review affirmed

## National Disability Insurance Scheme

[RHRD and National Disability Insurance Agency](#) [2022] AATA 1766 (21 June 2022); Dr L Bygrave, Member

NATIONAL DISABILITY INSURANCE SCHEME – reasonable and necessary supports – where Applicant diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder level 2, global developmental delay and Turner syndrome – where Applicant has gastrojejunostomy for feeding – thermomix all-in-one kitchen machine – car fridge/freezer – power inverter – garden shed kit – whether requested supports are reasonable and necessary pursuant to subsection 34(1) of the National Disability Insurance Scheme Act 2013 (Cth) – whether support relates to disability – whether support relates to day-to-day living costs that are not attributable to disability needs – decision set aside

## Practice and Procedure

[Auckram and Commissioner of Taxation](#) (Taxation) [2022] AATA 1583 (8 June 2022); Dr L Kirk, Senior Member

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE – Objection to issue summonses to give oral evidence – whether persons summonsed able to give evidence relevant to the Tribunal's determination of substantive issues – whether summons is a fishing expedition - whether members of the Administrative Appeals Tribunal can be summonsed to give evidence - where evidence does not go to narrow issue before the Tribunal – request for summonses refused – interlocutory application granted

[Betts and Secretary, Department of Social Services](#) (Social services second review) [2022] AATA 1716 (16 June 2022); Dr L Bygrave, Member

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – extension of time application – whether it is reasonable in all the circumstances to grant the extension – explanation for delay – prejudice – whether substantive matter has merit – disability support pension – extension of time application granted

[Dorrington and National Disability Insurance Agency](#) [2022] AATA 1714 (16 June 2022); S Webb, Member

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – jurisdiction – application for review of internal review decision to affirm approval of a statement of participant supports - remittal of decision under review for reconsideration – reconsideration procedure – reconsideration decision expressed in participant plan document – no reference made to materials on which findings are based – no reasons given – temporal considerations not expressly considered – reconsideration decision not expressed in terms consistent with legislation – deficiencies not sufficient to negate decision – decision under review taken to have been varied on reconsideration – jurisdiction to review internal review decision as varied by reconsideration decision

[Hutchinson and Comcare](#) (Compensation) [2022] AATA 1661 (9 June 2022); R West, Member

WORKERS' COMPENSATION – Commonwealth employee – Safety, Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 – Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder – Major Depressive Disorder – whether injury contributed to in a significant degree by employment – previous determination – application to dismiss pursuant to s 42B of the Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act – issue estoppel – abuse of process – application dismissed

[Kuziak and Secretary, Department of Social Services](#) (Social services second review) [2022] AATA 1778 (3 June 2022); C Puplick AM, Senior Member

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – claim for disability support pension – dismissal application pursuant to s 42B(1)(b) – no reasonable prospect of success – no substantive claim made within relevant time period – request for review of decision not made within 13 weeks – application dismissed

[T.D.S Biz Pty Ltd and Commissioner of Taxation](#) (Taxation) [2022] AATA 1692 (28 March 2022); A Poljak, Senior Member

TAXATION – whether ATO employee should be summonsed to appear – Research & Development (R&D) – R&D expenditure – supporting R&D activities – core R&D activities – whether evidence would be of any legitimate forensic purpose – summons set aside

[Tran and Migration Agents Registration Authority](#) (Migration) [2022] AATA 1776 (20 June 2022); The Hon. J Pascoe AC CVO, Deputy President

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE - jurisdiction – dismissal under s 42B of the AAT Act – whether the application should be dismissed on the grounds of futility – amendment to the Migration Act prohibiting legal practitioners from registration as a migration agent – where the Applicant's registration as a migration agent was cancelled prior to the amendment – matter referred to the Office of the Legal Services Commissioner – outcome of the application is not futile as election has not been made – Tribunal has jurisdiction – dismissal under s 42B refused

## **Professions and Trades**

[Omerdic and Registrar of Ministers of Religion Victoria](#) [2022] AATA 1765 (16 June 2022); C J Furnell, Senior Member

PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS – authorised marriage celebrant – register of ministers of religion – applicant's name removed from register – applicant found guilty of having solemnised a marriage when he had reason to believe there was a legal impediment to the marriage – consideration of applicant's character, honesty and integrity – applicant not a fit and proper person to solemnise marriages – decision under review affirmed

## Refugee

[1712109](#) (Refugee) [2022] AATA 1438 (16 March 2022); T Flood, Member

REFUGEE – protection visa – Fiji – member of a particular social group – bisexual, non-binary demi-woman – abuse and disownment by family, assault by former fiancé, social and community isolation and workplace discrimination – anxiety and depression – long relationship with woman which ended when she returned to home country – now in relationship with heterosexual man pursuing his own migration outcome – consistent claims, evidence, psychological assessment and submissions – country information – inconsistent implementation of anti-discrimination laws, and religious and cultural/social beliefs and behaviours – not reasonable to conceal gender identity or sexual orientation, modify behaviour or relocate – decision under review remitted

[1717011](#) (Refugee) [2022] AATA 1440 (16 March 2022); A Grant, Member

REFUGEE – protection visa – Sudan – race – member of a non-Arab Darfuri tribe – persecution of non-Arab Darfuri tribes by military backed Arab militia – fears harm (being abducted, assaulted and killed) by members of JEM – fears harm from the Sudanese Government – imputed with pro-JEM political opinion and anti-Sudanese government political opinion – decision under review remitted

[2119220](#) (Refugee) [2022] AATA 1544 (20 March 2022); D Creedon, Member

REFUGEE – Protection visa – Uganda – cancellation – complementary protection – left Uganda as young child – long-term residence in Australia – Australian citizen children – lost documentation – parents' affiliation with Uganda People's Congress Party and subsequent death in Ugandan civil war – easy target as foreigner – decision under review remitted

[1911465](#) (Refugee) [2022] AATA 1344 (22 March 2022); P Dunn, Member

REFUGEE – protection visa – India – inter-caste or inter-faith relationship – credibility concerns – vague and inconsistent evidence – late claims not raised earlier – delay in leaving country – delay in applying for protection – failure to respond to the Department's request for information – decision under review affirmed

[2017816](#) (Refugee) [2022] AATA 1445 (1 April 2022); M Hawkins, Member

REFUGEE – protection Visa – Chile – complementary protection – medical condition – Autism Spectrum Disorder (Asperger's Syndrome) – discrimination, harm and bullying at school – victim of torture as defined in the Convention – legal protection for persons with disabilities – access to mental health services – Chilean school system – decision under review remitted

[1707501](#) (Refugee) [2022] AATA 1667 (3 April 2022); D Creedon, Member

REFUGEE – protection visa – Malaysia – pursued by Inland Revenue Board (IRB) of Malaysia for alleged unpaid tax – discrimination against ethnic Chinese – economic hardship – no country information of threats by IRB officers – numerous incidents of fraud, or “scamming” through impersonation of IRB officials – victims appear to be selected on basis of their actual or perceived wealth – decision under review affirmed

[1908055](#) (Refugee) [2022] AATA 1665 (14 April 2022); B Darcy, Member

REFUGEE – Protection visa – Syria – complementary protection – Alawite ethnicity – subjected to extortive practices by parastatal thugs to run business – draft evader – fear of forced conscription into Syrian army – marriage breakdown to Australian citizen spouse – mental health issues – decision under review remitted

[1836199](#) (Refugee) [2022] AATA 1764 (22 April 2022); P Vlahos, Member

REFUGEE – Protection visa – Egypt – religion – Coptic Christian – medical conditions – potential suicidal ideations – significant anxiety about returning to the Egyptian health system – discrimination he encountered was very minimal – applicant was not the subject of intelligence interest – fear with respect to his religion is not well-founded – delay in lodging the visa application – compassionate circumstances regarding [the applicant’s] health and psychological state – Ministerial intervention – decision under review affirmed

## Social Services

[Korossietis and Secretary, Department of Health](#) (Social services) [2022] AATA 1730 (17 June 2022); A Maryniak QC, Member

SOCIAL SECURITY – aged care – financial hardship – where loan was granted for benefit of niece – whether forgiven loan considered unrealisable asset – whether forgiven loan constitutes a gift – whether Applicant eligible for financial hardship assistance – decision affirmed

[Mu and Secretary, Department of Social Services](#) (Social services second review) [2022] AATA 1731 (20 June 2022); Dr C Huntly, Member

SOCIAL SECURITY – Carer Payment – asset test – Social Security Act – whether Applicant rate of pay assessed correctly – method of calculation – member of a couple – reviewable decision affirmed

## Taxation

[GQRW and Commissioner of Taxation](#) (Taxation) [2022] AATA 1779 (17 June 2022); D Mitchell, Member

TAXATION – income tax – ordinary income – where payments received related to ongoing family and business proceedings – payments made as a result of Supreme Court Orders – does the manner in which payments are classified change the tax treatment of the payments – decision under review varied

# Appeals

This section of the Bulletin provides information about appeals that have been lodged or finalised against decisions in the AAT's General, Freedom of Information, National Disability Insurance Scheme, Security, Small Business Taxation, Taxation & Commercial and Veterans' Appeals Divisions. Information is only included about appeals relating to AAT decisions that have been published on [AustLII](#). Full copies of the decisions can be accessed through the hyperlinks provided below.

## Appeals lodged

---

CASE NAME	AAT REFERENCE
<b>DSLB and Comcare</b>	<a href="#">[2022] AATA 1369</a>

---

## Appeals finalised

---

CASE NAME	AAT REFERENCE	COURT REFERENCE
<b>Balladraf v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs</b>	<a href="#">[2021] AATA 4733</a>	<a href="#">[2022] FCA 698</a>
<b>Bristowe v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs</b>	<a href="#">[2022] AATA 200</a>	<a href="#">[2022] FCA 690</a>
<b>Calvey and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs</b>	<a href="#">[2021] AATA 277</a>	<a href="#">[2022] FCAFC 104</a> <a href="#">[2021] FCA 1389</a>
<b>JSMJ v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs</b>	<a href="#">[2021] AATA 4183</a>	<a href="#">[2022] FCA 718</a>
<b>Kwatra v Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs</b>	<a href="#">[2021] AATA 3147</a>	<a href="#">[2022] FCA 680</a>
<b>Rong and Minister for Immigration, Citizenship, Migrant Services and Multicultural Affairs</b>	<a href="#">[2020] AATA 6071</a>	<a href="#">[2022] FCA 673</a>

---



With the exception of the Commonwealth Coat of Arms and any third party material, this work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia Licence](#). Content from this publication should be attributed as: Administrative Appeals Tribunal, *AAT Bulletin*.

To the extent that copyright subsists in third party material, it remains with the original owner and permission may be required to reuse the material.

The terms under which the Coat of Arms can be used are detailed on the following website: <https://www.pmc.gov.au/government/commonwealth-coat-arms>.

Enquiries regarding the licence are welcome at [aatweb@aat.gov.au](mailto:aatweb@aat.gov.au).

This licence is limited to the *AAT Bulletin* and does not extend to the full text of AAT decisions. Separate licence terms for AAT decisions can be found on [AustLII](#).

