



Administrative
Appeals Tribunal

AAT Bulletin

Issue No. 1/2018

15 January 2018

The *AAT Bulletin* is a weekly publication containing information about recently published decisions and appeals against decisions in the AAT's General, Freedom of Information, National Disability Insurance Scheme, Security, Taxation & Commercial and Veterans' Appeals Divisions. The Bulletin also regularly includes a sample of decisions recently published in the AAT's Migration & Refugee Division and Social Services & Child Support Division. It occasionally includes information on legislative changes that affect the AAT.

It is recommended that the Bulletin be read on-line. This has the advantage of allowing the reader to use hyperlinks to access the full text of cases and other internet sites mentioned in the Bulletin.

The AAT does not make any representation or warranty about the accuracy, reliability, currency or completeness of any material contained in this Bulletin or on any linked site. While the AAT makes every effort to ensure that the material in the Bulletin is accurate and up-to-date, you should exercise your own independent skill and judgement before you rely on it. Information contained in this Bulletin is not legal advice and is intended as a general guide only. You should rely on your own advice or refer to the full cases and legislation in relation to any proceedings.

Enquiries regarding this publication may be directed to aatweb@aat.gov.au.

Contents

AAT Recent Decisions	3
Child Support.....	3
Citizenship.....	3
Compensation	3
Migration.....	4
National Disability Insurance Scheme.....	6
Practice and Procedure.....	6
Social Security.....	7
Taxation.....	9
Veterans' Affairs	10
Appeals	11
Appeals lodged.....	11
Appeals finalised	11
Jurisdiction and legislative changes	12
Administrative Appeals Tribunal (Code of Practice) Determination 2017.....	12
Statements of Principles	13
New Statements of Principles	13
Statements of Principles to be revoked.....	14

AAT Recent Decisions

This section of the Bulletin provides information about all decisions recently published in the AAT's General, Freedom of Information, National Disability Insurance Scheme, Security, Taxation & Commercial and Veterans' Appeals. This list also includes selected child support decisions published by the Social Services & Child Support Division and examples of recent decisions published by the Migration & Refugee Division. Only AAT decisions that have been published on [AustLII](#) have been included. Full copies of the decisions can be accessed through the hyperlinks provided below.

Child Support

[Nematollahi and Child Support Registrar](#) (Child support second review) [2017] AATA 2704 (15 December 2017); Mr B AG Warner, Member

CHILD SUPPORT – application for Departure Authorisation Certificate (DAC) – circumstances in which Child Support Registrar must issue a DAC - whether Applicant satisfies circumstances in which Registrar must issue DAC – two sets of circumstances not satisfied – decision under review affirmed

Citizenship

[Hassani and Minister for Immigration and Border Protection](#) (Citizenship) [2017] AATA 1 (4 January 2018); Ms DK Grigg, Member

CITIZENSHIP – cancellation of approval to grant citizenship – whether applicant of good character – decision under review affirmed

Compensation

[Adamthwaite and Comcare](#) (Compensation) [2017] AATA 5 (10 January 2018); Deputy President JW Constance

WORKERS COMPENSATION – compensable injury – aggravation of severe L5/S1 discopathy – whether ceased to suffer effects of injury – entitlement to compensation for medical expenses – entitlement to incapacity payments – entitlement to compensation for household and care services – conflicting medical evidence – decision affirmed

[Sheehan and Comcare](#) (Compensation) [2017] AATA 2777 (22 December 2017); Deputy President G Humphries

COMPENSATION – Post-traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) – disease contributed to, to a significant degree, by Ms Sheehan's employment – multiple factors contributing to Ms Sheehan's disease – two competing and closely-contemporaneous factors – whether one factor 'crowds out' other factors – accusations of terrorism – traffic incident while travelling between two places of employment in vehicle in Zimbabwe – delayed onset, or delayed expression of symptoms of disease – determining the date of the injury – excluding evidence after the notional date of injury – medical evidence – whether medical evidence should be rejected because of witness's lack of credibility – decision under review set aside.

[Solman and Comcare](#) (Compensation) [2017] AATA 6 (9 January 2018); Deputy President G Humphries

COMPENSATION – no jurisdiction in absence of determination of liability under s 14 of the Safety, Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988 (Cth) – determining mechanisms by which liability is established – ambit of accepted condition in absence of fresh s 14 determination cannot be broadened – lack of jurisdiction cannot be remedied by procedural step – no jurisdiction to consider a claim for incapacity or impairment arising from cervical spondylosis or arthritis – ailment a different condition to injury accepted in September 2004 – injury, not impairment, that unlocks compensation – fusion surgery on neck – injury to neck – decisions affirmed.

[Warner and Comcare](#) (Compensation) [2017] AATA 2709 (19 December 2017); Senior Member Dr J Popple

COMPENSATION — Claims management — implied undertaking — document produced under summons — whether implied undertaking applies to Comcare in relation to use of document for management of claimant's other claims — release from implied undertaking required where document to be used for purposes collateral or ulterior to purpose for which document was produced — claims management purposes collateral or ulterior where claims relate to different injuries — release required — whether release required where a copy of the document is given under Safety, Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 1988, s 58 — release required — whether Comcare should be released from undertaking.

Migration

[BHKM and Minister for Immigration and Border Protection](#) (Migration) [2018] AATA 3 (8 January 2018); Deputy President BW Rayment

HOME AFFAIRS – applicant seeks review a decision made by the respondent to refuse his protection visa application – the applicant does not pass the character test – whether the discretion under section 501(1) should be exercised – non-refoulement obligations considered – decision set aside and remitted

[Bou and Minister for Immigration and Border Protection](#) (Migration) [2017] 2781 AATA (22 December 2017); Senior Member A Nikolic AM CSC

MIGRATION – cancellation of visa – substantial criminal record – failure to pass character test – violent and repeat nature of offending – unacceptable risk of reoffending – risk to the Australian community established – where Australian community would expect application to be refused – best interests of minor children in Australia – decision affirmed

[Denison and Minister for Immigration and Border Protection](#) (Migration) [2017] AATA 2707 (18 December 2017); Deputy President AG Melick AO SC

MIGRATION – mandatory cancellation of visa – character test – term of imprisonment – whether discretion to revoke mandatory cancellation should be exercised – Direction No. 65 – primary considerations – protection of the Australian community – risk to the Australian community – applicant's risk of reoffending – other considerations – strength, nature and duration of ties – impact upon victims – extent of impediments if removed – decision under review set aside

[GJQD and Minister for Immigration and Border Protection](#) (Migration) [2018] AATA 2 (4 January 2018); Senior Member Dr D Cremean

MIGRATION – revocation of visa – character grounds – substantial criminal record – review – “another reason” – claimed bisexuality – other factors – decision affirmed – anonymity of applicant and witnesses.

[Hazelhurst and Minister for Immigration and Border Protection](#) (Migration) [2017] AATA 2711 (19 December 2017); Senior Member T Tavoularis

MIGRATION – visa refusal – Applicant failed character test under s 501(6)(d)(i) – that if allowed to remain in Australia risk he would engage in criminal conduct – delegate subsequently exercised discretion to refuse visa under s 501(1) – whether Applicant fails character test in s 501(6) – Tribunal found Applicant fails character test under s 501(6)(c) - whether discretion to refuse Applicant’s visa should have been exercised – Considerations weigh in favour of Applicant being allowed into Australia – discretion to refuse should not be exercised – decision under review set aside and substituted.

[HGBY and Minister for Immigration and Border Protection](#) (Migration) [2017] AATA 2824 (13 December 2017); Mr M Kennedy, Member

IMMIGRATION AND CITIZENSHIP – Application for review of decision to refuse a class XB Subclass 200 refugee visa – refusal of visa on character grounds under section 501 – Consideration of principles under Ministerial Direction 65 – decision under review affirmed

[Molloy and Minister for Immigration and Border Protection](#) (Migration) [2017] AATA 2712 (5 December 2017); Senior Member Britten-Jones

MIGRATION – visa refusal – skilled-nominated visa – whether person passes the character test – consideration of “criminal” conduct at lower end of the spectrum – whether there is a risk of engaging in criminal conduct - whether discretion to refuse to grant a visa should be exercised – protection of the Australian community from criminal and other serious conduct – primary and other considerations under Ministerial Direction No. 65 – decision under review set aside.

[PRHR and Minister for Immigration and Border Protection](#) (Migration) [2017] AATA 2782 (22 December 2017); Deputy President SA Forgie

IMMIGRATION – decision refusing to grant protection visa – legal consequences of refusing protection visa a relevant consideration – decision affirmed

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – Direction 65 not consistent with the law since the enactment of s 197C of the Migration Act 1958 – exceeded power conferred by s 499 – Direction cannot be read as if an amendment had been made to remove or mitigate inconsistency – severance of inconsistency possible

[Symonds and Minister for Immigration and Border Protection](#) (Migration) [2017] AATA 2785 (22 December 2017); Senior Member E Fice

CANCELLATION OF VISA ON CHARACTER GROUNDS – application to revoke mandatory cancellation – extensive criminal record – risk to the Australian community – high risk of reoffending – expectations of the Australian community – family ties to Australia – reduced mental functioning due to drugs and alcohol

[Tohu and Minister, Immigration and Border Protection](#) (Migration) [2017] AATA 2710 (19 December 2017); Dr L Bygrave, Member

IMMIGRATION – Class TY Subclass 444 Special Category (Temporary) visa – whether the delegate’s decision to cancel the applicant’s visa should be revoked under section 501CA(4) of the Migration Act 1958 – the applicant does not pass the character test – substantial criminal record – there is no other reason why the original decision should be revoked – decision affirmed

[QSVS and Minister for Immigration and Border Protection](#) (Migration) [2017] AATA 2708 (18 December 2017); The Hon. D Cowdroy OAM QC, Deputy President

MIGRATION – mandatory visa cancellation – character test – substantial criminal record – protection of the Australian community – best interests of minor children – expectations of the Australian community – other considerations – decision under review affirmed

National Disability Insurance Scheme

[Hassett and National Disability Insurance Agency](#) [2018] AATA 4 (4 January 2018); Dr P McDermott RFD, Deputy President

NATIONAL DISABILITY INSURANCE SCHEME – characterisation of decision made by delegate of Chief Executive Officer – whether reviewable decision is made under s 100(6) – reviewable decision made under s 100(6)(b) to vary statement of participant supports

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – JURISDICTION – Tribunal’s jurisdiction to review decisions under the National Disability Insurance Scheme Act 2013 limited to those made by a reviewer under s 100(6)

[Holmes and National Disability Insurance Agency](#) [2017] AATA 2750 (21 December 2017); Professor R McCallum AO, Member

NATIONAL DISABILITY INSURANCE SCHEME – access – post traumatic stress disorder – emphysema – neck and throat condition – whether the applicant satisfies the disability requirements – the applicant does not meet the disability requirements – whether the applicant satisfies the early intervention requirements – the applicant does not meet the early intervention requirements – decision under review affirmed

Practice and Procedure

[Ellery and Director-General, Department of Finance, Services and Innovation](#) (NSW Fair Trading) [2017] AATA 2716 (18 December 2017); Deputy President SA Forgie

MUTUAL RECOGNITION – whether Tribunal has jurisdiction – local registration authority returned application to applicant date stamped – whether decision made – whether decision to refuse to accept lodgement of application – whether decision was one in relation to local registration authority’s functions – jurisdiction found

[Kfoury and Secretary, Department of Social Services](#) [2017] AATA 2717 (19 December 2017); Deputy President BW Rayment

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – extension of time application – whether it is reasonable in all the circumstances to grant the extension – explanation for delay – whether prejudice to respondent – whether substantive matter has merit – extension of time application refused

[Martindale ACF Pty Ltd and Chief Executive Officer, Australian Aged Care Quality Agency](#) [2017] AATA 2783 (21 December 2017); Deputy President K Bean and Mr I Thompson, Member

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – Health – Aged care – Review by Administrative Appeals Tribunal of decision to revoke accreditation of nursing home – Tribunal's task to determine what was the correct or preferable decision – Whether decision to revoke accreditation contains a temporal element – Point of time to which the Tribunal is to address itself – Relevance of evidence of matters that occurred after the date of the decision under review.

[TFS Manufacturing Pty Limited and Minister for Health](#) [2017] AATA 2786 (19 December 2017); The Honourable Justice D Thomas, President, Deputy President K Bean and Senior Member A Poljak

PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE – Health – Therapeutic goods – Decision by the Therapeutic Goods Administration to cancel applicant's registration on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods – Tribunal's task to determine what was the correct or preferable decision – Whether discretion to cancel registration on the Register contains a temporal element – Whether Tribunal could consider evidence relating to the applicant's compliance with the essential principles as at the time of the Tribunal's decision – Whether Tribunal was limited to considering only the evidence of the applicant's compliance with the essential principles whilst the applicant's device was still on the Register.

Social Security

[Davis and Secretary, Department of Social Services](#) (Social services second review) [2017] AATA 2743 (21 December 2017); Senior Member PE Nolan

SOCIAL SECURITY – DISABILITY SUPPORT PENSION – whether Applicant had conditions that were fully diagnosed, treated and stabilised during the relevant period – whether Applicant had 20 impairment points – spinal condition – Applicant only has 10 impairment points – decision under review is affirmed

[Dinh and Secretary, Department of Social Services](#) (Social services second review) [2017] AATA 2778 (20 December 2017); Senior Member P Britten-Jones

SOCIAL SECURITY - pensions, benefits and allowances - disability support pension - whether conditions fully diagnosed, treated and stabilised in the relevant period - whether applicant has 20 points or more under the Impairment Tables - whether applicant should be rated under Table 1 or Table 4 - decision under review affirmed.

[Eskinazi and Secretary, Department of Social Services](#) (Social services second review) [2017] AATA 2749 (22 December 2017); Senior Member Dr D Cremean

SOCIAL SECURITY — Newstart — Age Pension— whether Applicant ‘Australian resident’--- relevant factors — family living overseas --- decisions affirmed

[Fauvette and Secretary, Department of Social Services](#) (Social services second review) [2017] AATA 2746 (15 December 2017); Professor R McCallum AO, Member

SOCIAL SECURITY – Disability Support Pension – Depression – Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) – arthritis in right hand – arthritis in left knee – whether conditions fully diagnosed, treated and stabilised – whether impairments rated 20 points under the Impairment Tables – decision affirmed

[Iseppi and Secretary, Department of Social Services](#) (Social services second review) [2017] AATA 2744 (21 December 2017); Senior Member Nolan

SOCIAL SECURITY – DISABILITY SUPPORT PENSION – whether Applicant had conditions that were fully diagnosed, treated and stabilised during relevant period – whether Applicant had 20 impairment points – spinal condition – other conditions – decision under review is affirmed

[Morales and Secretary, Department of Social Services](#) (Social services second review) [2017] AATA 2825 (1 December 2017); Senior Member DJ Morris

SOCIAL SERVICES – Disability Support Pension (DSP) – whether qualified – whether impairments fully diagnosed, fully treated and fully stabilised – several medical conditions – no condition fully treated or fully stabilised in claim period – not qualified for DSP – decision affirmed – written reasons requested

[Pignat and Secretary, Department of Social Services](#) (Social services second review) [2017] AATA 2745 (20 November 2017); Deputy President BJ McCabe

Disability support pension – whether the applicant’s fatigue condition cannot be allocated at least 10 points under the impairment tables – consideration of the meaning of the expression “results from” – where the applicant’s impairment does not result from the permanent medical condition – decision under review affirmed

[Redman and Secretary, Department of Social Services](#) (Social services second review) [2017] AATA 2748 (23 November 2017); Senior Member B Stefaniak AM RFD

SOCIAL SECURITY – disability support pension – whether applicant qualified for disability support pension – mental health condition – spinal condition – whether impairments fully treated and stabilised – whether impairments rated at least 20 points under Impairment Tables – whether applicant had a continuing inability to work – decision under review affirmed

[Russell and Secretary, Department of Social Services](#) (Social services second review) [2017] AATA 2747 (15 December 2017); Dr L Bygrave, Member

SOCIAL SECURITY – Disability Support Pension – impairment tables – whether condition is fully diagnosed, treated and stabilised – whether impairment rates 20 points under impairment tables – osteoarthritis – bipolar disorder – anxiety – depression – diabetes – hearing loss – bronchitis – mental health function – upper limb function – lower limb function – decision affirmed

[Singh and Secretary, Department of Social Services](#) (Social services second review) [2017] AATA 2706 (18 December 2017); Ms DK Grigg, Member

SPECIAL BENEFIT – Guide to Social Security Law – long term available funds test – decision under review affirmed

[Westwood and Secretary, Department of Social Services](#) (Social services second review) [2017] AATA 2703 (15 December 2017); Deputy President K Bean

SOCIAL SECURITY – Disability Support pension – Departmental review of applicant's ongoing qualification for disability support pension – Disability support pension cancelled – Whether applicant satisfied criteria for disability support pension as at the date of cancellation – Whether conditions fully diagnosed, treated and stabilised – Decision under review affirmed.

[Wilkinson and Secretary, Department of Social Services](#) (Social services second review) [2017] AATA 2718 (18 December 2017); Senior Member AC Cotter

SOCIAL SECURITY – disability support pension – cancellation – neuropathy condition – spinal condition - bowel condition - depression – other conditions - asthma; high cholesterol; left hand fracture; jaw pain; and a cardiac condition - whether applicant was qualified for DSP at the date of cancellation – whether impairments attract 20 points or more under the Impairment Tables – decision under review affirmed

Taxation

[BXCD and Commissioner of Taxation](#) (Taxation) [2017] AATA 2826 (21 December 2017); Deputy President BW Rayment

TAXATION – whether assessments are excessive – applicant bears onus of proof – cash purportedly paid to suppliers – demonstrated bogus transactions – significant cash amounts withdrawn – no evidence where cash applied – onus of proof not discharged – applicant's role in management of companies – whether Commissioner has power to issue a notice of amended assessment – decision under review affirmed

[LDGL and Commissioner of Taxation](#) (Taxation) [2017] AATA 2779 (21 December 2017); Deputy President FD O'Loughlin

INCOME TAX – whether amended assessments excessive – burden of proof on applicant taxpayer – balance of probabilities - fraud or evasion – administrative penalty applied - whether requisite opinion formed – onus on applicant to show fraud or evasion opinion should not have been formed – decision affirmed

[NZBG and Commissioner of Taxation](#) (Taxation) [2017] AATA 2784 (14 December 2017); Deputy President BW Rayment

TAXATION – tax debt – whether the applicant’s tax debt and general interest charge ought to be released in whole or in part – applicant failed to disclose financial position – applicant failed to discharge onus of proof – decision under review affirmed

[Tyl and Commissioner of Taxation](#) (Taxation) [2017] AATA 2850 (22 December 2017); Senior Member E Fice

TAX – deductions – allowances – work-related car expenses – work-related travel expenses – other work related expenses – whether applicant took reasonable care in calculating returns – where reasonable allowance exceeded – administrative penalty – Objection Decision affirmed

Veterans' Affairs

[Anderson and Repatriation Commission](#) (Veterans' entitlements) [2017] AATA 2724 (20 December 2017); Deputy President J Sosso

VETERANS' AFFAIRS – Veterans' Entitlements – disability pension – entitlement to Extreme Disablement Adjustment rate – assessment of disability and lifestyle rating – decision under review set aside

[Quinn and Repatriation Commission](#) (Veterans' entitlements) [2017] AATA 2714 (20 December 2017); Senior Member DJ Morris

VETERANS' ENTITLEMENTS – Application for disability pension – claim for dental caries – prisoner of war contention for service in Cambodia – whether collateral reason for review - decision affirmed

[Soul and Repatriation Commission](#) (Veterans' entitlements) [2017] AATA 2780 (22 December 2017); Deputy President Dr P McDermott RFD

VETERAN'S ENTITLEMENTS – Rate of pension – Whether Applicant eligible for special rate – Whether Applicant eligible for intermediate rate – Consideration of “Alone test” in ss 23 and 24 – Evidence insufficient to satisfy alone test – Ameliorating provisions apply – Evidence indicates applicant genuinely seeking to engage in work before assessment period – Applicant entitled to payment at the special rate – Decision under review set aside and substituted.

[Zalewska-Moon and Repatriation Commission](#) (Veterans' entitlements) [2017] AATA 2852 (3 October 2017); Deputy President AG Melick AO SC

VETERANS' ENTITLEMENTS – overpayment of service pension – disposal of assets – deprived asset – whether transfer of property constitutes disposal of asset – decision under review affirmed.

Appeals

This section of the Bulletin provides information about appeals that have been lodged or finalised against decisions in the AAT's General, Freedom of Information, National Disability Insurance Scheme, Security, Taxation & Commercial and Veterans' Appeals Divisions. Information is only included about appeals relating to AAT decisions that have been published on [AustLII](#). Full copies of the decisions can be accessed through the hyperlinks provided below.

Appeals lodged

CASE NAME	AAT REFERENCE
Howard and Comcare	[2017] AATA 2381
Mullen and Aged Care Complaints Commissioner	[2017] AATA 2556
RWXF and Minister for Immigration and Border Protection and Anor	[2017] AATA 1614
Stevens and Repatriation Commission	[2017] AATA 2419

Appeals finalised

CASE NAME	AAT REFERENCE	COURT REFERENCE
None finalised		



Jurisdiction and legislative changes

This section of the *Bulletin* provides a summary of significant legislative changes that affect the AAT, including changes and potential changes to the AAT's jurisdiction to review decisions. Information about the AAT's jurisdiction can also be found on the [AAT website](#).

Administrative Appeals Tribunal (Code of Practice) Determination 2017

The Attorney-General has determined a new Code of Practice under section 27B(1) of the *Administrative Appeals Tribunal Act 1975* regarding the manner in which agencies should inform affected persons of their review rights. Agencies must have regard to the Code of Practice unless a method of notification is prescribed under another enactment.

The Determination can be accessed via this [link](#).

Statements of Principles

This section of the *Bulletin* provides information on recent developments in relation to Statements of Principles made by the Repatriation Medical Authority for the purposes of the [Veterans' Entitlements Act 1986](#) and the [Military Rehabilitation and Compensation Act 2004](#).

New Statements of Principles

The AAT has been advised that the Repatriation Medical Authority has made the following new Statements of Principles, which **commence** on **29 January 2018**:

Cerebral meningioma (Balance of Probabilities) – No. 2 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00007>

Cerebral meningioma (Reasonable Hypothesis) – No. 1 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00006>

Frostbite (Balance of Probabilities) – No. 12 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00014>

Frostbite (Reasonable Hypothesis) – No. 11 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00013>

Hepatitis C (Balance of Probabilities) – No. 14 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00017>

Hepatitis C (Reasonable Hypothesis) – No. 13 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00016>

Malignant neoplasm of the cerebral meninges (Balance of Probabilities) – No. 4 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00002>

Malignant neoplasm of the cerebral meninges (Reasonable Hypothesis) – No. 3 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00001>

Malignant neoplasm of the ovary (Balance of Probabilities) – No. 10 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00011>

Malignant neoplasm of the ovary (Reasonable Hypothesis) – No. 9 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00010>

Migraine (Balance of Probabilities) – No. 8 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00015>

Migraine (Reasonable Hypothesis) – No. 7 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00012>

Non-freezing cold injury (Balance of Probabilities) – No. 6 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00009>

Non-freezing cold injury (Reasonable Hypothesis) – No. 5 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00008>

Zika virus infection (Balance of Probabilities) – No. 16 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00019>

Zika virus infection (Reasonable Hypothesis) – No. 15 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2018L00018>

Statements of Principles to be revoked

The AAT has been advised that the following Statements of Principles determined by the Repatriation Medical Authority **will be revoked** on **29 January 2018**:

Cerebral meningioma – No. 20 of 2009, as amended

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2017C00781>

Cerebral meningioma – No. 19 of 2009

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2017C00780>

Frostbite – No. 24 of 2009

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2009L01591>

Frostbite – No. 23 of 2009

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2009L01590>

Hepatitis C – No. 55 of 2018

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2008L03170>

Hepatitis C – No. 54 of 2008

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2008L03169>

Immersion foot – No. 26 of 2009

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2009L01593>

Immersion foot – No. 25 of 2009

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2009L01592>

Malignant neoplasm of the cerebral meninges – No. 22 of 2019, as amended

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2017C00841>

Malignant neoplasm of the cerebral meninges – No. 21 of 2019, as amended

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2017C00850>

Malignant neoplasm of the ovary – No. 71 of 2009, as amended

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2017C00825>

Malignant neoplasm of the ovary – No. 70 of 2009, as amended

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2017C00826>

Migraine – No. 57 of 2009

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2009L03218>

Migraine – No. 56 of 2009

<https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2009L03217>

© Commonwealth of Australia 2016



With the exception of the Commonwealth Coat of Arms and any third party material, this work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Australia Licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/au/). Content from this publication should be attributed as: Administrative Appeals Tribunal, *AAT Bulletin*.

To the extent that copyright subsists in third party material, it remains with the original owner and permission may be required to reuse the material.

The terms under which the Coat of Arms can be used are detailed on the following website: <http://www.itsanhonour.gov.au/coat-arms/>.

Enquiries regarding the licence are welcome at aatweb@aat.gov.au.

This licence is limited to the *AAT Bulletin* and does not extend to the full text of AAT decisions. Separate licence terms for AAT decisions can be found on [AustLII](https://www.austlii.edu.au/au/other/dfat/page/aaat.html).